

SITE PLAN

BEXHILL

RR/2020/2132/P

29 Seabourne Road
The Warren – Plot 3



Rother District Council

Report to - Planning Committee
Date - 26 May 2022
Report of the - Director - Place and Climate Change
Subject - Application RR/2020/2132/P
Address - 29 Seabourne Road
The Warren - Plot 3
BEXHILL
Proposal - Demolition of existing bungalow and detached garage and construction of 3 No. new houses (previously approved under planning permission RR/2017/2588/P).

[View application/correspondence](#)

RECOMMENDATION: It be RESOLVED to GRANT (FULL PLANNING) DELEGATED (SUBJECT TO A SECTION 106 LEGAL AGREEMENT TO SECURE AN OFF-SITE RECEPTOR SITE FOR THE EXISTING REPTILE POPULATION)

Director: Ben Hook

Applicant: Mr Andrew Stewart Christie
Agent: Mr Andrew Stewart Christie
Case Officer: Mr Edwin Corke (Email: edwin.corke@rother.gov.uk)
Parish: BEXHILL
Ward Members: Councillors J.H.F. Brewerton and C.A. Clark

Reason for Committee consideration: Director – Place and Climate Change referral: Significant public interest received in relation to the impact of the proposed development on wildlife, particularly badgers.

Statutory 8-week date: 29/10/21
Extension of time agreed to: 23/02/2022

Members visited the application site earlier this year prior to the Planning Committee meeting on 17 February 2022.

1.0 UPDATE/SUMMARY

1.1 The proposal is a resubmission of a previously approved scheme for replacement of a bungalow and detached garage with a terrace of 3 houses. This current application was submitted prior to the previous planning permission expiring.

- 1.2 The application was reported to the February Planning Committee with an officer recommendation to grant planning permission subject to conditions. Members raised particular concern about the impact of the development on badgers, and the potential impacts of them being displaced into, and excavating under, neighbouring properties. The original mitigation strategy put forward at that time recommended retention of the main sett, with safeguards to protect badgers during development, and closure of the annex sett under licence. It also proposed the creation of a 5m wide badger/reptile/biodiversity habitat area across the northern part of the site, with the existing reptile (slow worm) population relocated to this area. The ecologist at East Sussex County Council (ESCC) advised that these mitigation measures were acceptable subject to an ecological design strategy – secured by condition – to address the rescue and translocation of reptiles.
- 1.3 Members resolved to defer a decision for further information regarding the potential re-location of protected species and impact on neighbouring properties. Natural England (NE) has subsequently confirmed that it is highly unlikely they would licence the trapping and translocation of badgers outside their social group territory due to disease risk implications. This has been acknowledged by the Applicant's new ecological consultant, who has undertaken a re-evaluation of the information submitted to date and has proposed an alternative mitigation strategy.
- 1.4 The Alternative Badger and Reptile Mitigation Strategy proposes the creation of an artificial sett on the site – located to the east of the existing main sett – followed by the permanent closure of the main and annex setts, and the establishment of underground barriers to prevent badgers tunnelling into neighbouring properties. The alternative strategy also proposes the creation of a 5m wide badger/reptile/biodiversity habitat area across the northern part of the site, with the existing reptile (slow worm) population relocated to this area – this is as per the original mitigation strategy.
- 1.5 The ESCC ecologist accepts that the alternative mitigation strategy would be the best option to retain badgers on site, and to address the concerns raised about them being displaced into, and excavating under, neighbouring properties. A Badger Mitigation Licence would be required from NE to execute the works, but it should be noted that NE will not consider a mitigation licence application until planning permission has been granted for the proposal. It should also be noted that planning permission gives no guarantee that NE will grant a licence. Without a licence, the proposed development will not be able to proceed. As a safeguard, a condition can be attached to the planning permission requiring proof that a licence has been obtained prior to works commencing.
- 1.6 With regard to the proposed reptile mitigation, the ESCC ecologist has advised that the construction of an artificial sett would impact all remaining reptile habitat on site, and as such, an off-site receptor site for the existing reptile population would need to be secured. A Section 106 Legal Agreement would be required to secure this off-site receptor site.
- 1.7 Overall the proposal is for a sustainable residential development, which will have an acceptable impact on the environment, including wildlife, and will make a positive contribution to the District's housing supply. Planning

permission should be granted, subject to a legal agreement to secure an off-site receptor site for the existing reptile population, and subject to appropriate conditions.

1.8 PROPOSAL DETAILS

PROVISION	
No of houses	3
CIL (approx.)	£16,781
New Homes Bonus (approx.)	£20,052

2.0 SITE

- 2.1 The application relates to a vacant residential plot located on the northern side of Seabourne Road, close to the junction with Bishops Walk. It lies within a large residential area within the Development Boundary for Bexhill.
- 2.2 The site slopes down from south to north and previously contained a detached bungalow and single garage. Ecological surveys have confirmed the presence of two badger setts and slow worms.
- 2.3 There are three adjoining properties – No. 4 Bishops Walk, which is a detached bungalow to the north, No. 33 Seabourne Road, which is a detached bungalow to the east, and No. 2 Bishops Walk, which is a detached bungalow to the west.
- 2.4 The surrounding area contains a mixture of bungalows, chalet-bungalows and two-storey houses on varying plot sizes. There is a variety of facing materials including brick, tile hanging and render.
-

3.0 PROPOSAL

- 3.1 In January 2015, planning permission (Application Ref: RR/2014/1455/P) was granted for demolition of existing bungalow and detached garage and construction of 3 new houses on the site, arranged as a terrace. This was subsequently renewed in January 2018 under Application Ref: RR/2017/2588/P. The planning permission was never implemented and expired in January 2021.
- 3.2 The current application was submitted prior to the previous planning permission expiring and is a resubmission of that scheme. It is once again proposed to erect a terrace of three 2/3-bedroom dwellings on the site, in-between the neighbouring properties on either side.
- 3.3 The building follows a chalet-style design with first floor accommodation provided within the roof space. The main pitched roof faces Seabourne Road and has two pitched roof dormers in both the front and rear slopes. The main roof is flanked on either side by slightly lower gable-ended pitched roofs. These run at right angles to the main roof with the gable ends facing the front and rear of the site respectively. The external materials palette consists of brickwork and tile hanging to the walls and plain tiles to the roof.

- 3.4 Due to the sloping nature of the site, the building height increases to the rear as the ground level falls away.
- 3.5 The proposal includes the formation of a shared vehicular access onto Seabourne Road and the creation of a shared car parking and turning area in front of and to the side of the dwellings.
-

4.0 HISTORY

- 4.1 RR/2014/459/P Demolition of existing bungalow and detached garage and construction of 3 No. new houses – Refused.
- 4.2 RR/2014/1455/P Demolition of existing bungalow and detached garage and construction of 3 new houses – Granted.
- 4.3 RR/2017/2588/P Demolition of existing bungalow and detached garage and construction of 3.No. new houses – Granted.
- 4.4 RR/2021/1234/P Demolition of existing dwelling and construction of 3 No. detached family dwellings including gardens, parking and access to Seabourne Road (alternative proposal to RR/2020/2132/P) – Not yet determined.
-

5.0 LEGISLATION AND POLICIES

- 5.1 The following wildlife legislation is relevant to the proposal:
- Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981
 - Protection of Badgers Act 1992
 - Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006
- 5.2 The following policies of the [Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014](#) are relevant to the proposal:
- PC1 (Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development)
 - OSS1 (Overall Spatial Development Strategy)
 - OSS2 (Use of Development Boundaries)
 - OSS3 (Location of Development)
 - OSS4 (General Development Considerations)
 - BX1 (Overall Strategy for Bexhill)
 - BX3 (Development Strategy)
 - SRM1 (Towards a Low Carbon Future)
 - SRM2 (Water Supply and Wastewater Management)
 - CO6 (Community Safety)
 - EN3 (Design Quality)
 - EN5 (Biodiversity and Green Space)
 - EN7 (Flood Risk and Development)
 - TR3 (Access and New Development)
 - TR4 (Car Parking)
- 5.3 The following policies of the [Development and Site Allocations Local Plan \(DaSA\)](#) are relevant to the proposal:
- DRM1 (Water Efficiency)

- DRM3 (Energy Requirements)
- DHG3 (Residential Internal Space Standards)
- DHG4 (Accessible and Adaptable Homes)
- DHG7 (External Residential Areas)
- DHG11 (Boundary Treatments)
- DHG12 (Accesses and Drives)
- DEN1 (Maintaining Landscape Character)
- DEN4 (Biodiversity and Green Space)
- DEN5 (Sustainable Drainage)
- DEN7 (Environmental Pollution)
- DIM2 (Development Boundaries)

5.4 The National Planning Policy Framework, Planning Policy Guidance and previous planning permissions are also material considerations.

6.0 CONSULTATIONS

6.1 Lead Local Flood Authority (East Sussex County Council) – **UNABLE TO RESPOND**

6.2 East Sussex County Council Ecologist – **NO OBJECTION**

6.2.1 Subject to securing an off-site receptor site for the existing population of reptiles and the imposition of conditions.

6.3 Natural England – **GENERAL COMMENT**

6.3.1 A Badger Mitigation Licence is required. NE will not consider a mitigation licence application until planning permission has been granted.

6.3.2 Notwithstanding the above, NE is concerned that there appears to be insufficient space at the site, advising that they are under the impression that the development plans will need to be altered in order to practically accommodate the badgers in an artificial sett on site.

6.4 Waste & Recycling (Rother District Council) – **NO OBJECTION**

6.4.1 The three sets of bins would need to be presented where the entrance to the drive meets the main road.

6.5 Planning Notice

6.5.1 Over 600 letters of **OBJECTION** have been received. The main concern raised is summarised as follows:

- Badgers should not be harmed.

6.5.2 Additional concerns raised are summarised as follows:

- Overdevelopment of the site.
- Design not in keeping with the character and appearance of the area.
- Overlooking and loss of light to neighbours.
- Overshadowing of neighbouring properties.
- Inadequate parking provision.

- Proposed gardens would be restricted in size and out of keeping with large gardens of surrounding properties.
- The location of at least one of the proposed soakaways appears to be directly on a badger sett.
- Inadequate surface water drainage provision resulting in increased flood risk to surrounding properties.
- Poor architectural design.
- Overbearing.
- Noise, fumes and extra traffic.
- Harmful to wildlife.
- No tree survey included – potential impact on bats using trees as roosts.
- Works have already been carried out on the site (e.g. buildings partly demolished), which have been harmful to wildlife.
- County Ecologist recommends the buildings are moved 3m south, which would take them out of the building line, so against the character of the area.
- County Ecologist recommends construction near the badger sett should be conducted by hand tools as far as possible. Is it a serious expectation that the developers will construct portions of the houses with hand tools?
- Details of the badger setts not shown on the Council's website.
- Why not simply leave what there already is and reduce the footprint of the building to a similar style - a single storey single dwelling.
- Development has and will continue to affect health unless refused.
- The developer is relying on the previously granted application as having given 'principle of development'.
- Previous application did not meet the criteria yet was granted – suggests this is already decided and will go ahead.
- The bus stop was also moved.
- A site visit was conducted on 15/2/22 but the committee members did not look around the site. Another site visit should be conducted to fully appreciate the existing situation and the implications of the proposed development on the badgers and neighbouring properties.
- Developer should submit scaled drawings showing the relationship of the build to each of the 3 properties surrounding the site, as the topography of the land is not clear from existing drawings.
- It would be useful if the full plans and all correspondence relating to the proposal are shown under this planning application reference, as a lot of the paperwork is missing. It is very difficult to understand what is proposed.
- The Committee should have unredacted paperwork so that they are able to make an informed decision.

6.5.3 Four letters of **SUPPORT** have been received. The reasons are summarised as follows:

- Will provide affordable local homes.
- Construction period will provide lots of local businesses with work.
- Derelict site will be a brilliant place for beautiful homes to develop.

6.5.4 Three letters with **GENERAL COMMENTS** have been received. The comments are summarised as follows:

- Badgers should not be harmed.

6.6 Bexhill Town Council – **NO COMMENTS RECEIVED**

- 6.6.1 The planning application was submitted before the Town Council was created.
-

7.0 LOCAL FINANCE CONSIDERATIONS

- 7.1 The proposal is for a type of development that is Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) liable. The total amount of CIL money to be received is subject to change, including a possible exemption, but the development could generate approximately £16,781.
- 7.2 The proposal is one that would provide New Homes Bonus (subject to review by the Government). If New Homes Bonus were paid it could, assuming a Band D property, be approximately £20,052 over four years.
-

8.0 APPRAISAL

- 8.1 The main issues are determined to be:
- The effect of the proposal on the character and appearance of the area.
 - The effect of the proposal on wildlife.
 - The effect of the proposal on the amenities of neighbouring properties.
 - Whether the proposal would be capable of accommodating the reasonable expectations of likely occupiers, including in terms of indoor and outdoor space and the provision of appropriate means of access for disabled users.
 - Highway matters, including parking provision.
 - Foul and surface water drainage provision.
- 8.2 Character and appearance of the area
- 8.2.1 Policies OSS4 (iii) and EN3 of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy and Policy DEN1 of the DaSA seek to ensure that new development is of high design quality that respects, contributes positively towards, and does not detract from the character and appearance of the locality.
- 8.2.2 The surrounding area contains a mixture of bungalows, chalet-bungalows and two-storey houses on varying plot sizes. There is a variety of facing materials including brick, tile hanging and render.
- 8.2.3 As per the previously approved schemes, the proposal would introduce a terrace of three houses on the site. The new building would be larger than the previous bungalow and would therefore be more prominent in the street scene. However, it would be set well back from the road – in line with the established building line – with good separation to the side and rear boundaries. The building also follows a chalet-style design which seeks to avoid excessive height or bulk. The main pitched roof of the proposed terrace would only be some 400mm higher than the roof of the previous bungalow. In addition, the proposed external materials palette of brickwork and tile hanging to the walls and plain tiles to the roof would be in keeping with the mix of facing materials in the surrounding area.
- 8.2.4 The above combination of factors would allow the proposal to integrate appropriately with the surrounding development. Conditions relating to

external materials, hard and soft landscaping, and boundary treatment are necessary in order to preserve the visual amenities of the area.

8.3 Wildlife

8.3.1 A significant number of objections have been received about the impact of the proposed development on wildlife, particularly badgers.

8.3.2 Section 40(1) of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 says that:

“The public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity.”

8.3.3 Policy EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy and Policy DEN4 (ii) of the DaSA require developers to integrate biodiversity into development schemes by avoiding adverse impacts from development on biodiversity or habitat, or where wholly unavoidable, provide appropriate mitigation against or compensation for any losses.

8.3.4 The Applicant has submitted ecological reports produced in October and November 2021. There are three ecological constraints associated with this site: badgers, reptiles and breeding birds. However, none of these preclude the proposed development.

8.3.5 With regard to badgers, these are protected under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992. Under the Act, it is an offence *inter alia* to: wilfully kill, injure or take a badger, or attempt to do so; cruelly ill-treat a badger; or intentionally or recklessly interfere with a badger sett, by a) damaging a sett or any part of one, b) destroying a sett, c) obstructing access to or any entrance to a sett, d) causing a dog to enter a sett, or e) disturbing a badger when it is occupying its sett. Activities that can affect badgers include noise, additional lighting or vibration.

8.3.6 Surveys have confirmed the presence of two setts on site: a main sett with eight active entrances in the north-west part of the site (with an additional two entrances in the garden of the neighbouring property, No. 2 Bishops Walk); and an annex/subsidiary sett with one active entrance by the western site boundary.

8.3.7 The original mitigation strategy recommended retention of the main sett, with safeguards to protect badgers during development, and closure of the annex sett under licence. It also proposed the creation of a 5m wide badger/reptile/biodiversity habitat area across the northern part of the site. The Applicant’s new ecological consultant says:

“The problem with this strategy is that once excluded from the main sett, the displaced badgers would have no alternative place of shelter and are likely to establish new setts elsewhere. This could lead to significant management problems within the active construction site, and a high risk of damage to neighbouring properties by displaced badgers. In the long term, the risk of structural damage to the new properties and neighbours remains the same once the development has been completed.”

8.3.8 The proposed alternative mitigation strategy is as follows:

“The proposed solution put forward by the Ecology Co-op involves the creation of an artificial sett on the north-east corner of the site and then permanently excluding badgers from both the main and annex setts under licence. This approach will enable permanent underground badger proofing to be installed around the site boundary and development site to prevent badgers from excavating tunnels under neighbouring properties. The proofing works would be completed in two stages, with that in the northeast corner installed before the artificial sett, and then around the area of the main sett once the badgers have been excluded and have established into the artificial sett.

This is a standard approach to badger mitigation that NE routinely licence, provided that the methods adhere to best practice guidance carried out successfully by The Ecology Co-op on other projects. The proposed 5m buffer zone along the northern section of the site would be retained as set out in the original proposal, together with a corridor for badgers along the western boundary so that badgers can disperse for foraging over their home territory as before the scheme.

This strategy does rely on the full co-operation of the neighbouring homeowners to permit ecologists to install one-way badger gates on the main sett entrances and subsequently carry out excavation works to the main sett once badger have been excluded. However, ultimately this approach will be beneficial to them in the long term by preventing badgers from extending the sett under their property, whilst still ensuring that the badgers are able to continue to exhibit their natural behaviour and remain safe at the site.”

8.3.9 The proposed badger proofing measure is galvanised chain-link fencing buried to a depth of 2m. This underground barrier would prevent badgers digging beneath the adjacent properties. The ESCC ecologist has recommended that the barrier is installed along the western and northern boundaries of the site, and potentially the eastern boundary. The exact position can be secured by condition.

8.3.10 The ESCC ecologist accepts that the alternative mitigation strategy would be the best option to retain badgers on site, and to address the concerns raised about them being displaced into, and excavating under, neighbouring properties. A Badger Mitigation Licence would be required from NE to execute the works, but it should be noted that NE will not consider a mitigation licence application until planning permission has been granted for the proposal. It should also be noted that planning permission gives no guarantee that NE will grant a licence. Without a licence, the proposed development will not be able to proceed.

8.3.11 At this stage, NE has advised that there appears to be insufficient space at the site, advising that they are under the impression the development plans will need to be altered in order to practically accommodate the badgers in an artificial sett on site. The Applicant’s ecological consultant has also pointed out that the proposed badger mitigation strategy relies on the full co-operation of the neighbouring homeowners to permit ecologists to install one-way badger gates on the main sett entrances and subsequently carry

out excavation works to the main sett once badgers have been excluded. Ultimately these matters would be dealt with under the Badger Mitigation Licence application, which is dependent on planning permission being granted for the proposal. It should be noted that any changes to the design and layout etc. of the development which may arise from the licencing regime, would need to be subject to consideration under a revised planning application. As a safeguard, a condition can be attached to the planning permission requiring proof that a Badger Mitigation Licence has been obtained prior to works commencing.

- 8.3.12 Turning to reptiles, slow worms, grass snakes, common lizards and adders are protected against intentional killing or injuring under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981.
- 8.3.13 A reptile survey has confirmed a good population of slow worms distributed across the site, with the presence of juveniles indicating that it is a breeding population.
- 8.3.14 The proposed development would result in the loss of the majority of suitable reptile habitat. The alternative mitigation strategy proposes the creation of a 5m wide badger/reptile/biodiversity habitat area across the northern part of the site, with the existing reptile (slow worm) population relocated to this area. This is as per the original mitigation strategy. However, the ESCC ecologist has advised that the construction of an artificial sett would impact all remaining reptile habitat on site, and as such, an off-site receptor site for the existing reptile population will need to be secured. The Applicant's ecological consultant has accepted this and is currently exploring options for receptor sites in the local area. Ultimately, the provision of an off-site receptor site will need to be secured through a Section 106 Legal Agreement. A detailed ecological design strategy addressing the rescue and translocation of reptiles has been recommended by the ESCC ecologist and this can be secured by condition.
- 8.3.15 With regard to breeding birds, no bird nests were observed on the date of survey. Notwithstanding this, the site has the potential to support breeding birds. Under Section 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, wild birds are protected from being killed, injured or captured, while their nests and eggs are protected from being damaged, destroyed or taken. In order to avoid disturbance to nesting birds, any demolition of buildings or removal of scrub/trees that could provide nesting habitat should be carried out outside the breeding season (generally March to August). If this is not reasonably practicable within the timescales, a nesting bird check should be carried out prior to any demolition/clearance works by an appropriately trained, qualified and experienced ecologist, and if any nesting birds are found, advice should be sought on appropriate mitigation.
- 8.3.16 The above information regarding breeding birds can be brought to the Applicant's attention by way of a note on the decision notice.

8.4 Amenities of neighbouring properties

- 8.4.1 Policy OSS4 (ii) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy requires development to not unreasonably harm the amenities of adjoining properties.

- 8.4.2 The application site is enclosed by residential properties on three sides. Use of the site would intensify as a result of the proposal, but there is nothing intrinsically harmful about domestic activities taking place in a residential area within a defined settlement. It is not considered that the intensification in use and resulting noise and disturbance (including from additional vehicle movements) arising from two additional dwellings would be unduly intrusive to neighbouring occupiers. Therefore, the amenities of adjoining properties would not be unreasonably harmed in relation to this matter.
- 8.4.3 In relation to light and outlook, the main bulk of the proposed terrace would be positioned between the flank walls of the neighbouring bungalows on either side (No. 33 Seabourne Road and No. 2 Bishops Walk), with a minimum separation of some 4m to the common boundaries with both those properties. This combination of factors would ensure that the building would not result in loss of light or outlook to the detriment of residential amenity. With regard to the neighbouring property to the north (No. 4 Bishops Walk), the rear elevation of the proposed terrace would be some 17.5m away from the common boundary with that property. This measure of separation would ensure that loss of light and outlook would not occur.
- 8.4.4 Turning to privacy, the main outlook from the windows serving the principal rooms of the proposed dwellings would be over the front and rear gardens of the respective dwellings. Where windows/rooflights are proposed in the side elevations, these are either high level or small hallway windows. For these reasons, harmful overlooking of the neighbouring properties on either side would not occur. With regard to the neighbouring property to the north (No. 4 Bishops Walk), the separation distance of some 17.5m to that property is considered to be sufficient to prevent harmful overlooking from the rear facing windows of the proposed dwellings.
- 8.4.5 Overall it is not considered that the proposal would unreasonably harm the amenities of neighbouring properties.

8.5 Needs of occupiers

- 8.5.1 Policy OSS4 (i) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy requires all development to meet the needs of future occupiers, including providing appropriate amenities.
- 8.5.2 Policy DHG3 of the DaSA requires all new dwellings to meet the minimum internal space in line with the Nationally Described Space Standards (NDSS).
- 8.5.3 The proposal is for three 2/3-bedroom houses. The end dwellings would each have a gross internal floorspace of 98sqm. The floorspace of the middle dwelling would be 82qm. According to the NDSS, a minimum gross internal floorspace of 84sqm should be provided for a 3-bedroom two-storey dwelling. This would be achieved for the two end dwellings but not the middle dwelling, which requires an additional 2sqm of floorspace. In this case however, the 'third' bedroom on the ground floor of the middle dwelling does not qualify as a bedroom, as it does not meet the minimum floor area requirement of 7.5sqm for a single bedroom. As the room is also shown as a study on the floor plans, it is treated as such for the purpose of determining this application. In this regard, the middle dwelling would achieve the

minimum gross internal floorspace requirement of 70sqm for a 2-bedroom two-storey dwelling.

8.5.4 Turning to external space, Policy DHG7 (i) of the DaSA normally requires private rear garden spaces of at least 10m in length. Excluding the 5m wide badger/biodiversity habitat area which is to be created across the northern part of the site, each of the dwellings would be provided with a rear garden of some 12.5m in length, which meets this requirement.

8.5.5 The provision of appropriately located cycle stores and refuse and recycling storage and collection point facilities can be secured by condition. The cycles sheds shown on the submitted drawings are not approved as they would be located within the badger/biodiversity habitat area.

8.5.6 Policy DHG4 of the DaSA requires all new dwellings to be built in accordance with Part M4(2) – Accessible and Adaptable Dwellings – of the Building Regulations. Policy DRM1 requires all new dwellings to achieve water consumption of no more than 110 litres per person per day. Both these requirements can be secured by condition.

8.6 Highway matters

8.6.1 Policies TR3 and CO6 (ii) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy seek to ensure adequate and safe access arrangements and avoid prejudice to road and/or pedestrian safety.

8.6.2 Access to the site would be as previously approved (i.e. via a new shared vehicular access from Seabourne Road). It would be some 6m wide, which well exceeds the minimum shared access width of 4.5m specified in the Highway Authority's *Minor Planning Application Guidance*.

8.6.3 With regard to car parking provision, Policy TR4 (i) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy requires the residual needs of the development for off-street car parking to be met having taken into consideration localised circumstances and having full regard to the potential for access by means other than the car, and to any safety, congestion or amenity impacts of a reliance on parking off-site whether on-street or off-street.

8.6.4 Having regard to the *Minor Planning Application Guidance*, 1 or 2-bedroom dwellings should generally be provided with one car parking space and 3 or 4-bedroom dwellings should generally be provided with two spaces. In this case three 2/3-bedroom dwellings are proposed and a total of six spaces (two per dwelling) would be provided. This is as per the previously approved scheme and would satisfy the car parking requirements for the development.

8.6.5 For the above reasons there is no objection to the proposal on highway grounds.

8.7 Drainage

8.7.1 This is a publicly sewered area with both foul and surface water sewers present. With regard to the disposal of foul sewage there is a presumption in favour of connection to the public sewer. This means of foul sewage disposal is proposed for the development, which is acceptable.

8.7.2 The application form and Site Plan indicate that surface water would be disposed of by soakaways. These are not approved, as no information/evidence has been provided to demonstrate that soakaways would provide effective disposal of surface water, including from both the dwellings and large area of hardstanding. As such, a pre-commencement condition is necessary in order to resolve this issue before the development commences.

8.8 Other matters

8.8.1 Restrictions on 'permitted development' rights (e.g. relating to enlargement of the dwellings, erection of outbuildings etc.) are considered to be necessary to safeguard the amenities of neighbouring properties, preserve the visual amenities of the area, retain appropriate outdoor amenity space for occupiers of the dwellings, and to protect habitats and species identified in the ecological surveys from adverse impacts post-development. These can be secured by condition.

9.0 **PLANNING BALANCE AND CONCLUSION**

9.1 The proposal is a resubmission of a previously approved scheme, which was extant at the time of submission. It is a sustainable residential development, which will have an acceptable impact on the environment, including wildlife, and will make a positive contribution to the District's housing supply. Planning permission should be granted, subject to a legal agreement to secure an off-site receptor site for the existing reptile population, and subject to appropriate conditions.

RECOMMENDATION: GRANT (FULL PLANNING) DELEGATED (SUBJECT TO A SECTION 106 LEGAL AGREEMENT TO SECURE AN OFF-SITE RECEPTOR SITE FOR THE EXISTING REPTILE POPULATION)

CONDITIONS:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
Reason: In accordance with section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).
2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plan, drawings and document:
Site Location Plan, dated 08 Dec 2020.
Drawing No. 5901/100/B (PROPOSED DWELLINGS – SITE PLAN), dated DEC 20 (NB the new soakaways, proposed cycle sheds, proposed boundary treatment and proposed soft landscape works are not approved).
Drawing No. 1420-P-02C (PROPOSED PLAN AND ELEVATIONS), dated 14-02-14 (NB the sheds are not approved).
Drawing No. 1420-P-03C (PROPOSED FIRST FLOOR PLAN, SITE PLAN AND SECTION), dated 14-02-14 (NB the sheds are not approved).
Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

3. No development shall commence, including any ground works or works of demolition, until the Local Planning Authority has been provided with either:
- a) a Badger Mitigation Licence, which relates to the development granted by this planning permission, issued by Natural England pursuant to Regulation 55 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017; or
 - b) a statement in writing from Natural England to the effect that it does not consider a Badger Mitigation Licence is required for the development granted by this planning permission.

Reason: A pre-commencement condition is required to ensure the existing badger population is conserved through appropriate mitigation/compensation measures, in accordance with Policy EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (ii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

4. No development shall commence, including any ground works or works of demolition, until an ecological design strategy (EDS) addressing the rescue and translocation of reptiles has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The EDS shall include the following:
- a) purpose and conservation objectives for the proposed works;
 - b) review of site potential and constraints;
 - c) detailed design(s) and/or working method(s) to achieve stated objectives;
 - d) extent and location /area of proposed works on appropriate scale maps and plans;
 - e) type and source of materials to be used where appropriate, e.g. native species of local provenance;
 - f) timetable for implementation demonstrating that works are aligned with the proposed phasing of development;
 - g) persons responsible for implementing the works;
 - h) details of initial aftercare and long-term maintenance;
 - i) details for monitoring and remedial measures; and
 - j) details for disposal of any wastes arising from works.

The EDS shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and all features shall be retained in that manner thereafter.

Reason: A pre-commencement condition is required to ensure the existing reptile population is conserved through appropriate mitigation/compensation measures, in accordance with Policy EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (ii & iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

5. No development shall commence, including any ground works or works of demolition, until a 5m wide badger/biodiversity habitat area has been created across the northern part of the site, in accordance with details (including a scale plan identifying the area) which have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved badger/biodiversity habitat area shall thereafter be retained and maintained in perpetuity as an undeveloped area.

Reason: A pre-commencement condition is required to ensure the existing badger population is conserved through appropriate mitigation/compensation measures, in accordance with Policy EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (ii & iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

6. A landscape and ecological management plan (LEMP) for the 5m wide badger/biodiversity habitat area shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of the development. The content of the LEMP shall include the following:
- a) description and evaluation of features to be managed;
 - b) ecological trends and constraints on site that might influence management;
 - c) aims and objectives of management;
 - d) appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives;
 - e) prescriptions for management actions, together with a plan of management compartments;
 - f) preparation of a work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a five-year period);
 - g) details of the body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan; and
 - h) ongoing monitoring and remedial measures.

The LEMP shall also include details of the legal and funding mechanism(s) by which the long-term implementation of the plan will be secured by the developer with the management body(ies) responsible for its delivery. The plans shall also set out (where the results from monitoring show that conservation aims and objectives of the LEMP are not being met) how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme. The approved plan will be implemented in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: A pre-commencement condition is required as biological communities are constantly changing and require positive management to maintain their conservation value. The implementation of a LEMP will ensure the long-term management of habitats, species and other biodiversity features, in accordance with Policy EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (ii & iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

7. No development shall commence, including any ground works or works of demolition, until details of the following have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and the development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority:
- a) badger proofing barrier (including a plan indicating the positions of the badger proofing barrier); and
 - b) timetable for installing the badger proofing barrier.

Reason: To prevent badgers being displaced into, and excavating under, neighbouring properties, in accordance with Policy OSS4 (ii) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014.

8. No development shall commence until a scheme for the provision of surface water drainage works to serve the development has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and the dwellings shall not be occupied until the drainage works to serve the development have been provided in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: A pre-commencement condition is required to ensure the satisfactory drainage of the site and to prevent water pollution, in accordance with Policies SRM2 and EN7 of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN5 of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

9. No development above ground level shall commence until details of the following have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and the development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved details:
 - c) manufacturer's/supplier's specifications of external facing materials;
 - d) manufacturer's/supplier's specifications of hard-surfacing materials;
 - e) boundary treatment (including a plan indicating the positions, design, height, materials and type of boundary treatment to be erected); and
 - f) measures to enhance the site for biodiversity.Reason: To preserve the visual amenities of the area and to enhance the site for biodiversity, in accordance with Policies OSS4 (iii) and EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

10. No development above ground level shall take place until a scheme of soft landscape works has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and these works shall be carried out as approved. Details shall include: Planting plans. Written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with plant and grass establishment). Schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities where appropriate.
Reason: To preserve the visual amenities of the area and to enhance the site for biodiversity, in accordance with Policies OSS4 (iii) and EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

11. All planting, seeding or turfing comprised in the approved details of landscaping shall be carried out in the first planting and seeding seasons following the occupation of the dwellings or the completion of the development, whichever is the sooner; and any trees or plants which within a period of five years from the completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of similar size and species.
Reason: To preserve the visual amenities of the area and to enhance the site for biodiversity, in accordance with Policies OSS4 (iii) and EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014 and Policy DEN4 (iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

12. The dwellings shall be constructed in accordance with Part M4(2) (Accessible and Adaptable Dwellings) of Schedule 1 of the Building Regulations 2010 (as amended) for access to and use of buildings.
Reason: To ensure an acceptable standard of access to the dwellings is provided, in accordance with Policy OSS4 (i) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DHG4 of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

13. The dwellings shall not be occupied until evidence has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority to demonstrate that they have been constructed to achieve water consumption of no more than 110 litres/person/day water efficiency as set out in Part G of Schedule 1 of the Building Regulations 2010 (as amended) for water usage.
Reason: To ensure the dwelling is water efficient, in accordance with Policy SRM2 (v) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DRM1 of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

14. No dwelling shall be occupied until a means of vehicular access has been constructed in accordance with the approved drawings.
Reason: To ensure adequate safe access arrangements, in accordance with Policies CO6 (ii) and TR3 of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014.
15. No dwelling shall be occupied until car parking and turning areas have been provided in accordance with the approved drawings. The car parking and turning areas shall thereafter be kept available for the parking and turning of motor vehicles and for no other purpose.
Reason: To ensure there is adequate off-street car parking provision and in the interests of highway safety, in accordance with Policies CO6 (ii), TR3 and TR4 (i & iii) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DHG7 (ii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.
16. No dwelling shall be occupied until secure cycle stores have been provided in accordance with details which have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The cycle stores shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of bicycles and for no other purpose.
Reason: To ensure there is adequate cycle parking provision, and to preserve the visual amenities of the area, in accordance with Policy OSS4 (i & iii) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DHG7 (ii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.
17. No dwelling shall be occupied until refuse and recycling storage and collection point facilities have been provided in accordance with details which have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The refuse & recycling storage and collection point facilities shall thereafter be kept available for the storage and collection of refuse and recycling and for no other purpose.
Reason: To ensure there is adequate refuse and recycling storage and collection point facilities, and to preserve the visual amenities of the area, in accordance with Policy OSS4 (i & iii) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DHG7 (iii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.
18. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order with or without modification), no enlargement of any part of a dwelling shall be carried out without a planning permission granted by the Local Planning Authority.
Reason: To safeguard the amenities of neighbouring properties, preserve the visual amenities of the area, retain appropriate outdoor amenity space for occupiers of the dwellings, and to protect habitats and species identified in the ecological surveys from adverse impacts post-development, in accordance with Policies OSS4 (i, ii & iii) and EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (ii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.
19. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order with or without modification), no garages, building, structure or erection of any kind (including wall, fences or other means of enclosure not permitted as part of this development) shall be erected, and no caravan or

mobile home shall be kept or stationed on the land, without a planning permission granted by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of neighbouring properties, retain appropriate outdoor amenity space for occupiers of the dwellings, and to protect habitats and species identified in the ecological surveys from adverse impacts post-development, in accordance with Policies OSS4 (i & ii) and EN5 (ix) of the Rother Local Plan Core Strategy 2014, and Policy DEN4 (ii) of the Development and Site Allocations Local Plan 2019.

NOTES:

1. The development is subject to the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Full details will be set out in the CIL Liability Notice which will be issued in conjunction with this decision. All interested parties are referred to <http://www.rother.gov.uk/CIL> for further information and the charging schedule.
2. The developer and/or landowner is advised that the site has the potential to support breeding birds. Under Section 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), wild birds are protected from being killed, injured or captured, while their nests and eggs are protected from being damaged, destroyed or taken. In order to avoid disturbance to nesting birds, any demolition of buildings or removal of scrub/trees that could provide nesting habitat should be carried out outside the breeding season (generally March to August). If this is not reasonably practicable within the timescales, a nesting bird check should be carried out prior to any demolition/clearance works by an appropriately trained, qualified and experienced ecologist, and if any nesting birds are found, advice should be sought on appropriate mitigation.
3. The developer and/or landowner is reminded that it is an offence to damage or destroy protected species under separate legislation. The granting of planning permission for a development does not provide a defence against prosecution under wildlife protection legislation.
4. The developer and/or landowner is advised that any proposed works on or abutting the existing highway will require a Section 184 Licence with the County Council, prior to the commencement of works. Details of construction, surface water drainage, gradients and potential traffic management requirements can all be discussed with East Sussex County Council through the Section 184 Licence process. Any temporary access would also be subject to the Section 184 Licence process prior to any commencement of work.
5. The developer and/or landowner is advised that a formal application for connection to the public sewerage system is required in order to service this development. Please read Southern Water's New Connections Services Charging Arrangements document, which is available at <https://beta.southernwater.co.uk/developing-building/connection-charging-arrangements>.
6. The development will be subject to the requirements of the Building Regulations, and advice should be sought from the East Sussex Building Control Partnership. No work should be carried out until any necessary permission has been obtained.

7. The developer and/or landowner should take all relevant precautions to minimise the potential for disturbance to adjoining occupiers from noise and dust during the construction period. This should include not working outside the hours of 8am to 6pm Monday to Friday, 8am to 1pm on Saturdays, and no such work should take place on Sundays or Public Holidays.

NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK: In accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 38) and with the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015, the Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.